

SECRET

17 JUN 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Chief, Office of Logistics,
Security Staff

FROM:

Chief, Industrial Security Branch
Office of Security

SUBJECT:

Response to
Audit Recommendations (S)

1. We have studied the [redacted] response to the recommendations that were developed during the October 1981 reaudit of that facility. With two exceptions it appears that the recommendations have been complied with, or at least have been substantially implemented, and do not require further pursuit. The two exceptions referred to are Recommendation L and P. The response to Recommendation L was for some unknown reason phrased in the form of a request for approval to use destruction certificates when destroying Agency classified material. We would obviously endorse that proposal as a satisfactory solution to the problem and request that you so advise Ms.

[redacted] In Recommendation P the response does not match the recommendation. Perhaps the simplest solution would be to forward the latest list of approved typewriters. (S)

2. It appears that [redacted] and/or others at [redacted] were stunned by the number of recommendations made as a consequence of this reaudit. At least that is our impression based on the tone of their response. If so, their impression is not only unfortunate, but it is also inaccurate. There were some 16 recommendations, but many of them were associated, and most were procedural matters that could be easily remedied. As indicated in our report, the overall security posture was satisfactory and the cooperation and support of the security program by management appeared to be excellent. It might help if you would convey these views in your response. (S)

SECRET